## Global English Education China Assembly - Proposal Rating Rubric

@ TESOL International Association

Evaluation Criteria	Poor (1 Point)	Fair (2 Points)	Good (3 Points)	Excellent (4 Points)
1. Currency, importance, and	Topic is not current and/or lacks	Topic is only tangentially related to the	Topic is current, important, and	Topic is extremely current,
appropriateness of topic to	importance or appropriateness to the	field, not completely current or	appropriate to the field and potential	significant, and appropriate to the
the field and audience	field and/or to the potential	important to the field and/or to the	audience.	field and potential audience.
	audience.	potential audience.		
2. Pedagogy, research,	The proposal does not mention a	The proposal refers loosely or	The proposal presents a need or	The proposal establishes a strong
theory, and policy rationale	need or rationale, or it is unclear how	tangentially to a need or rationale, but	rationale for the session content via	need or rationale for the session
	the need or rationale is connected to	the citations and/or terminology are	recent citations and/or terminology	content through a cogent and
	the field or content of the session.	not specific, recent, or relevant to the	relevant to the field.	coherent synthesis of recent
		field or the content of the session.		citations and/or terminology relevant
				to the field.
3. Description of session	The proposal makes claims with no	The proposal lacks coherence and/or	The proposal provides a coherent	The proposal provides a very
content and plan	description of the method,	loosely describes the method,	description of the method,	detailed and coherent description of
	procedure, or plan of action of the	procedure, and plan of action of the	procedure, and plan of action of the	the method, procedure, and plan of
	session.	session.	session.	action of the session.
4. Outcomes and implications	The participant outcomes and	The participant outcomes and practical	The participant outcomes and	The participant outcomes and
for educational settings	practical implications for TESOL	implications for TESOL professionals	practical implications for TESOL	practical implications for TESOL
	professionals are not provided.	are unclear and/or too broad.	professionals are mostly clear and	professionals are very clear,
			specific.	specific, and highly relevant.
5. Appropriateness in terms of	The proposal is inappropriate for the	The proposal is somewhat	The proposal is mostly appropriate	The proposal is clearly appropriate
length, content and delivery	session type in terms of length,	inappropriate for the session type in	for the session type in terms of	for the session type in terms of
methods	content, and delivery methods.	terms of length, content, and/or	length content, and/or delivery	length, content, and delivery
		delivery methods.	methods.	methods.
6. Overall clarity of proposal	The proposal is vague and/or poorly	The proposal is somewhat clear but	The proposal is clear and suggests	The proposal is very clear and
as indicator of presentation	edited, suggesting that the	suggests that the presentation may be	that the presentation will be of good	well-written, suggesting that the
quality	presentation may be of poor quality.	of weak quality.	quality.	presentation will be of professional
				quality.